Anne Murphy
8220 Crystal Star Ct
Las Vegas, NV
July 10, 2006

Opposition to the rezoning of parcel to 120 units or R24 per acre

I would like to state that the proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, approximately 75% of the lot size is available for construction due to power easements running across the property, therefore the parcel should not be considered as 5 acres for development, but rather 4.1 acres, which is the true usable amount of land for development. Because the developer can only build on 4 acres the density he proposes is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. My unit in particular, will be most negatively impacted by the construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to my 4 unit structure. This is in contrast to the five of the other proposed 12 unit structures on the parcel that are further away from neighboring properties.

At the neighborhood meeting we were shown the structures, but not shown any floor plans or layout other than told these units would be 600-800 sq ft. This is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which are a minimum of 1200 feet to approximately 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the primarily home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years most adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community as over 400 homes try to access this double dead ended road and Roland Wiley. Within 200 feet of the proposed construction is our town home entrance which is only about 30 feet from the stop sign on to Roland Wiley. The increased traffic will make maneuvering the turn to the stop sign more dangerous when the anticipated 64 cars, or one car a minute start heading out toward Westcliff Dr.

ZON-13867
SDR-13866

at peak times. When I spoke with the city traffic engineer he agreed that our ingress/egress is not designed to enter into oncoming traffic of higher density and that with today's zoning this design would not be allowed. Built with standards and expectations of ten years ago the street is not designed to accept such a heavy influx of traffic from this cul-de-sac.

All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. At the neighborhood meeting the developer said the since the Angel Park Apartments are R16 that should make it ok for them to get R25 approval. As one of the neighbors most heavily impacted by this zoning change I would like to recommend that the zoning for this parcel be restricted to R17. This is slightly larger than the Angel Park apartments that enter directly onto Westcliff Dr, not our dead end street. To achieve this R17 or 85 units, I would like to see the developer restricted to the seven structures they propose and that each of the structures is no larger than 12 units per structure with a minimum of 800 sq ft. per unit. This will only require them to downsize the 24 unit structure from next to my unit and the 18 unit structure next to Angel Park. Having 84 units will allow for at least 1.3 parking spaces per unit and will reduce the some of the impact to the town homes that border the property by increasing landscaping along the perimeters.

Finally I would like to state that there has been no sign on this property stating the proposed changes. Many residents are not even aware of where the parcel is and mistakenly believe the notices mailed to our homes are related to the recently completed assisted living center that was also built on Silver Sky. If the developer is legally required to post a sign for a certain amount of time, then the council should be compelled to deny a recommendation on this property until the legal process has been followed to notify the residents of the specific parcel to be developed.

Respectfully,

Anne R Murphy

Anne R Murphy

Ms. Anne Murphy
330 S Valley View Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89107

LAS VEGAS NV 890
12 JUL 2006 PM 4 T

Planning & Development Dept.
Current Planning DIV
Development Svc Center
731 S 4th St.
Las Vegas, NV 89101

89101+6706

DOROTHY

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this dead end, Silver Sky, are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire I, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the proposed construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. With two cars per unit and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density problems. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase in traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign. OPPOSED TO THIS DEVELOPMENT SITE PLAN

Name Steve Willey Date 7 27 06

Address 8204 Diamond Star Ct 89145

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -1 A 10:42

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this dead end, Silver Sky, are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire I, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the proposed construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. With two cars per unit and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density problems. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase in traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign. OPPOSED TO THIS DEVELOPMENT SITE PLAN.

Name John P. Murphy III Date 7.28.06

Address 8220 Crystal Star Court 89114

2006 AUG -1 AM 10:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120 residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

Name James E. Haynes Date 7/27/06

Address 8205 Diamond Star Ct Las Vegas Nv 89145

2006 AUG -1 A 10:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120 residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

Name Rhonda Wi Date 07-27-06

Address 8204 Belle Star Ct.

2006 AUG -1 A 10:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this dead end, Silver Sky, are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire I, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the proposed construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. With two cars per unit and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density problems. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase in traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign. OPPOSED TO THIS DEVELOPMENT SITE PLAN.

Name [signature] Date 7-27-06

Address 8212 CRYSTAL STAR CT

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -1 A 10:42

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 JUL 27 A 10:42

Name Alex Figueroa & Michelle Torosian Date 7/27/6

Address 8205 Belle Star ct. Las Vegas NV. 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

2006 AUG -1 A 10:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Name Marc Webster Date 07.27.06

Address 8204 Belle Star ct Las Vegas NV. 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120 residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

Name Cathy Rinse Date 7-27-06

Address 8201 Belle Star Ct.

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -1 A 10:41

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120 residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 JUL -1 A 10:41

Name [signature] Date 7/27/06

Address 8201 Distant Star Ct L.V., NV 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

RECEIVED CITY CLERK 2006 AUG 1 A 10:41

Name Bill [illegible] Date 7/27/06

Address 8200 Dream Star

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120 residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -1 10:45

Name Ken J. Taylor Date 7-27-06

Address 8205 DREAM STAR CT / LV, NV 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120 residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

Name Nina McLaughlin Date 7/27/06

Address 8204 Midnight Star ct.

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG 10 A 10:41

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120 residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

Name Lisa M. Meade Date 7/27/06

Address 8200 Dream Star Ct. 89145

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -1 A 10:41

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120 residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -1 A 10:41

Name Wendy K. Evelyn Date 07/27/06

Address 8201 Distant Star Ct. Las Vegas NV 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120 residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

Name Mary Bshaarat Date 7/27/06

Address 245 Lucky Star Str

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -1 A 10:41

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring propertiès;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

Name Evelyne Frank Date 27 July 06

Address 257 Lucky Star Ct, Las Vegas, NV 89145

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -1 A 10:41

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this dead end, Silver Sky, are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire I, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the proposed construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. With two cars per unit and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density problems. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase in traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign. OPPOSED TO THIS DEVELOPMENT SITE PLAN

RECEIVED
2006 JUL 27 A 10:41
CITY CLERK

Name Gayle Herford Date 7-27-06

Address 8220 Diamond Star

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this dead end, Silver Sky, are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire I, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the proposed construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. With two cars per unit and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density problems. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase in traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign. OPPOSED TO THIS DEVELOPMENT SITE PLAN.

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -1 A 10:

Name Cathleen Green Date 7/27/06

Address 8221 Diamond Star Ct Las Vegas 89125

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this dead end, Silver Sky, are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire I, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the proposed construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. With two cars per unit and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density problems. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase in traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign. OPPOSED TO THIS DEVELOPMENT SITE PLAN.

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -1 A 10:

Name Brenda Culbertson Date 7-27-06

Address 8224 Diamond Star Court Las Vegas NV 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this dead end, Silver Sky, are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire I, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the proposed construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. With two cars per unit and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density problems. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase in traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign. OPPOSED TO THIS DEVELOPMENT SITE PLAN.

Name Roberta Estrada Date 7/27/06

Address 8212 Diamond Star Ct
LV NV 89145

2006 AUG -1 A 10:41
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

{1}

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on a dead end street in the neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. All the developed residential homes on this double dead end street are a density of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Because of easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties. Our town homes, Starfire II, in particular will be most negatively impacted by the planned construction of a 24 unit complex that will be only 18 feet from property line at the point next to several 4 unit structures.

These units are planned to be 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the home owners' neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. 800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed.

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This area is also ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. There should be at least one parking space required per unit for this complex.

The increase traffic from this many units will greatly detract from the sense of community when over 300 vehicles try to access this double dead end road and Roland Wiley. Our town home entrance is between the property on Silver Sky and stop sign to Roland Wiley. The stop sign is about 30 feet from Starfire's ingress/egress. This makes maneuvering to the stop sign even more dangerous if the proposed additional 120+ residents try to make their way past our entrance to the stop sign.

Name Luke McCoy Date 7/27/06

Address 8217 Midnight Star Ct.

2006 AUG -1 A 10:
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 AM 11:52

Name Anthony O'Neill Date 8/5/06

Address 129 GOLF COURSE ST.

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

2006 AUG -8 A 7:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Name Reggie Young Date 8-5-06
Address 124 Golf Course St Las Vegas NV 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 AM 11:42

Name [illegible] Date 08 05 06

Address 108 Golf Course Street

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Marian Anilei Date 8-5-06

Address 109 LEMON GRAZE ST. # 201

2006 AUG -8 A 11:42

RECEIVED CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Flori Jacobs Date 8/5/06

Address 116 Golf Course St.

2006 AUG -8 A 11:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name ELIZARDO ALBARRAN Date 8/5/06

Address 8237 GOLF CLUB AVE. LV, NV 89149

2006 AUG -8 A 11:42

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name April GARRISON Date 8/5/06

Address 100 Golf Course St. L.V. NV 89145

2006 AUG -8 A 11:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Sue Christensen Date 8-5-06

Address 8305 Cherry Blossom Ave #203
LV NV 89145

2006 AUG -8 A 11:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A11:42

Name Julio Banderas Date 8-5-06
Address 8305 Cherry Glaze Ave #201 Las Vegas, NV 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

2006 AUG -8 A 11:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Name Anthony LaMark Date 08/05/2006
Address 8305 Cherry Glaze Ave #203 Las Vegas NV 89145
705.505.4940

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Rita MONEILL Date 8/5/06
Address 629 Golf Course St Lv NV 89145

2006 AUG -8 A 11:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Patricia [illegible] Date 8-5-06

Address 109 Lemon Glade St

2006 AUG -8 A 11:42
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A 11:52

Name [illegible signature] Date 8/5/06

Address 116 Golf Course St LV NV 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A 4:45

Name [signature] Date 8/5/06

Address 108 Lemon Glaze #201 Las Vegas NV 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A 11:5

Name [illegible] Date 8/5/06

Address 108 LEMON GLASE #201 Las Vegas, NV 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Leslie A. Mesa Date 8/5/06

Address 8232 Golf Player Ave LV. NV. 89144

2006 AUG -8 11:39
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2009 AUG -8 A 11:30

Name [signature] Date 8/5/6

Address 113 Golf Range St. LV NV 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name John de Guzman Date 08/08/06

Address 125 Golf Range St.

2006 AUG -8 A 11: 39
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While it is being called a senior center the actual age limit is 55. At 55 years you still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Anne M. Snyder Date August 5, 2006
Address 8300 Cherry Glaze Ave #103

2006 AUG -8 A 11:39
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

2006 AUG -8 A 11:
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Name Alyce A Lain Date 8/5/06

Address 246 Star Cluster circle

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name _____ Date 8/5/06

Address 224 Star Cluster Cir

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

2006 AUG -8 A 11:39
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Elaina Cahill Date 08/05/06

Address 224 Star Cluster Cir.

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A 11:39

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name [illegible] Date 8-5-06

Address 222 Star Cluste Cir LV NV 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG 8 A11:39

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

2006 AUG -8 A 11:39
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Name Sandra Spencer Date 8-5-06

Address 214 Star Cluster Circle 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Francine Maher Date 8-5-06

Address 206 Star Cluster Circle

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A 11: 3

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name William F Retter Date 8-5-06

Address 204 Star Cluster Crl Las Vegas NV 89105

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

2006 AUG 16 A 11:39
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Donna M. Peterson Date 8/5/06

Address 204 Star Cluster Cir. 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

2006 AUG 8 A 11:39
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Michael Leahy Date 8/5/06

Address 200 STAR CLUST PR CIRCLE

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

2006 AUG -8 A 11:39

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name BRIAN BARTLETT Date 8-5-06

Address 202 STAR CLUSTER CIRCLE

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

2006 AUG -8 A 11:38
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Cora Cosman Date 08/06/06

Address 8305 CHERRY GLAZE #103

2006 AUG -8 A 11:38

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

CITY CLERKS OFFICE, #13866 & 13867, 4TH & STEWART AVE.,LAS VEGAS,NV 89101

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name [signature] Date 08/06/06

WILLIAM F. COSMAN
Address 8305 CHERRY GLAZE AV, #103
LV, NV 89145

2006 AUG -8 A 11: 38
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

CITY CLERKS OFFICE, #13866 7 13867, 4TH & STEWART ST..LAS VEGAS, NV 89101

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Elma Sardarian Date 8/6/06

Address 109 Lemon Glaze - #104
Las Vegas, NV 89145

2006 AUG -8 A 11: 38
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A 11: 38

Name ED MARDINI Date 8/6/06

Address 104 Lemon glaze St. LV, NV

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name GINA CLAY Date 8/6/06

Address 244 STAR CLUSTER CIRCLE LV, NV 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

2006 AUG -8 A 11:38
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name [illegible] Date 8/6/06

Address 252 STAR CLUSTER CIR., L.V., NV. 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

2006 AUG -8 A 11:38
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name MIGUEL OLAGUE Date 8/6/06

Address 256 STAR CLUSTER CIR LAS VEGAS NV 89142

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

2006 AUG -8 A 11:38
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Sharon Robinson Date 8/6/06

Address 260 Sky Cluster Circle LV NV 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A 11:38

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Christine L. Hoskin Date 8-6-06

Address 288 Star Chester Circle, LV. NV. 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

2006 AUG 8 A 11:38
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A 11:08

Name Debbie Macon Date 8/6/06

Address 282 Star Cluster cir L.V. NV 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A 11: 38

Name SONI Date 8-6-06

Address 275 STAR CLUSTER CIR. LAS VEGAS, NV 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 A 11:38

Name Letitia L'Heureux Date 8/6/06
Address 215 Star Cluster Cir Las Vegas, NV 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2006 AUG -8 AM 11:38

Name [signature] Date 8/6/06

Address 272 [illegible]

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Protest

Name Richard Hartline Date 8-7-06

Address 8304 Apple Glaze Ave #103
Las Vegas, NV 89145

Submitted after final agenda
Date 8/11/06 Item #124-#125

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Sandra Wilson Date 8-7-06

Address 120 Emerald Forest St #202, Las Vegas 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **800 sq ft should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners. How will restrictions on these units be enforced? Will 21+ year olds be allowed to live with someone over 55?**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. Units should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Patrick Byrne Date 8/7/06

Address 108 Breezy Tree Ct., #103 Las Vegas 89145

Opposition to the rezoning ZON-13867 to R25 per acre
And SDR-13866 for 120 unit senior apartments

The proposed development violates several articles of the Chapter 19.08.020 Development Standards of the General Plan:

A. To ensure that the new development will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjacent and neighboring properties;
B. n/a
C. To increase design capability between abutting properties and land use;
D. To reinforce a sense of community and to preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods.

Hot Endeavors is proposing to increase the density of the last remaining lot on Silver Sky St, a dead end road in our neighborhood by more than double what exists there today. This area is already medium densely populated by residential homes of R12 or lower. To allow an increase of over double the density on the corner lot would not reinforce a sense of community or preserve the integrity of the neighborhoods. **This clearly violates the development standards of 19.08.020. Additionally, because of power easements, the developer can only build on 4 acres so the density of the units is actually closer to R30. In order to develop this 120 unit complex, these large buildings are being placed on the perimeters of the property negatively impacting the enjoyment of the adjacent and neighboring properties.** This is in contrast to the recently built Silver Sky assisted living center and neighboring Angel Park Apts. which built away from the neighboring property lines.

These units are planned at 600-800 sq ft. This also is not in keeping with the integrity of the neighboring homes which average 1200 - 1700 sq ft. These small rental units will degrade the integrity of the neighborhood and the units are being designed smaller solely to increase the density of the parcel rather than increase design capability between abutting properties. **1000 square feet should be the minimum allowed to keep this area from turning into low cost housing and consequentially devaluing the property of neighboring homeowners.**

There are only 111 parking spaces planned for this adult community of 120 units. While this development is being called senior apartments the actual age limit is 55. Most 55 years old adults still need to work at least another 10 years before retirement so to say these people won't be working or driving cars is not realistic. This parcel is ¼ mile from the nearest bus stop which only has one bus running per hour so most residents will require a vehicle. Two cars for some of the units and visitors parking will be out on the street creating additional density and traffic problems. The entrance to this parcel is limited to one half of a cul-de-sac so all additional street parking will be in front of other home owner developments. **There should be at least 1.3 parking spaces required per unit for this complex and the development should be restricted to no more than 16 units per acre with set back limitations of 30 ft. to restrict encroachment of large structures onto neighboring homeowners. The seven proposed buildings should be restricted to no greater that 12 per structure.**

Name Michelle Gentile Date 8-6-06

Address 121 EMERALD FOREST #201 - 89145

Mail to: City Clerk – Aug 16 Council meeting, 400 Stewart Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89101

Michelle A. Gentile